AST1420 "Galactic Structure and Dynamics" Problem Set 3

Due on Nov. 19 at the start of class

Most of the exercises in this problem set must be solved on a computer and the best way to hand in the problem set is as an **ipython notebook**. Rather than sending me the notebook, you can upload it to **GitHub**, which will automatically render the notebook. Rather than starting a repository for a single notebook, you can upload your notebook as a **gist**, which are version-controlled snippets of code that can optionally be made private. If you want to make further changes, you can clone your gist in a separate directory and use it as you would any other git repository. *Please re-run the entire notebook (with Cell > Run All) after re-starting the notebook kernel before uploading it*; this will make sure that the input and output are fully consistent.

If you are unfamiliar with notebooks, you can also send in a traditional write-up (in LaTeX), but you also need to send in well-commented code for how you solved the problems. Thus, notebooks are strongly preferred :-)

Problem 1: Gravitational collapse in one dimension.

"[One] grows stale if he works all the time on insoluble problems, and a trip to the beautiful world of one dimension will refresh his imagination better than a dose of LSD."

Freeman Dyson

Gravitational N-body simulations of structure formation in the Universe are complex and computationally demanding. Fortunately, some of the physics of gravitational collapse can be understood by simulating one-dimensional systems, where it is easy to reach high resolution and where gravity is simpler. Let's explore the formation of dark matter halos using gravitational N-body simulations in one dimension.

(a) The Poisson equation is as usual

$$\nabla^2 \Phi = 4\pi G\rho \,. \tag{1}$$

An important aspect of understanding gravitation is the determination of the *Green's func*tion, that is, the solution of this equation for a density $\rho(x) \propto \delta(x)$. In three dimensions, we showed in the notes that the gravitational potential for $\rho(\vec{x}) = M \,\delta(\vec{x})$ is the familiar $\Phi = -GM/r$ with $r = |\vec{x}|$. Show that the solution for $\rho(x) = A \,\delta(x)$ in one dimension is given by $\Phi(x) = 2\pi G A |x|$. What are the units of A?

(b) The gravitational force corresponding to $\Phi(x) = 2\pi G A |x|$ is $F = -d\Phi/dx = -2\pi G A \operatorname{sign}(x)$, where $\operatorname{sign}(x)$ is the sign function that is equal to one for x > 0, equal to minus 1 for x < 0 and equal to zero for x = 0. Therefore, very unlike what happens in three dimensions, the gravitational force is constant as a function of distance! In the next

part, we will run an N-body simulation for N equal-mass particles (so all A are equal; you can also assume that $2\pi G = 1$). Because the gravitational force is constant with distance, the total force on any given particle *i* in the sequence is therefore given by $A \times (N_i^+ - N_i^-)$, where N^+ is the number of particles with $x > x_i$ and N_i^- is the number of particles with $x < x_i$. Write a function that for a given array of positions x_i computes the total force on each particle. Test this function by applying it to a large number of particles uniformly distributed between -1/2 and 1/2, for which you should compute the analytical solution.

(c) Now write the second part of the N-body code by writing a leapfrog integrator that integrates all N particles forward for a time step δt using the force function that you wrote in (b). Use this N-body code to integrate the following system of initial conditions

$$x \in \left[-\pi/2, \pi/2\right] \tag{2}$$

$$v = -0.001 \sin(x)$$
 (3)

$$N = 10,001, (4)$$

where the x are evenly spaced in the interval given. These initial conditions are similar to those of a dark matter halo that has just started collapsing after decoupling from the Hubble expansion. Integrate this system forward for a total time of t = 200 with $\delta t = 0.0005$. Plot the phase-space distribution (x, v) at times t = 0, 18, 25, 40, 132, and 200. Describe what you see happening.

(d) The simulation that you ran is the same as that shown in the GIF at the start of chapter 6 in the notes, but the simulation in the notes solves the *N*-body problem exactly (which is possible in 1D, because the force does not depend on distance). Compare your simulation's output to the GIF and discuss why your simulation might (dis)agree with the GIF.

Problem 2: Recycling in chemical evolution and the abundance of deuterium.

In the class notes, we ignored the effect of recycling of unprocessed material by stars back into the ISM. That is, we assumed that gas consumed by star formation was fully lost from the ISM, except for the enriched ejecta that we described using the yield parameter p. However, in reality, winds from massive stars return a significant amount of mass to the ISM that was not changed by the star and thus returns mass to the ISM at the star's birth abundance Z.

Recycling is especially important to consider if we want to investigate the abundance of deuterium in the ISM. Deuterium is an interesting element because it is only *destroyed* by stars without being created (to a good approximation, deuterium is only produced during Big Bang nucleosynthesis [BBN]). As such, deuterium is a good tracer of whether or not gas has ever been in a star. For example, if most of the gas in the present-day Milky Way ISM was previously processed in stars, then the deuterium abundance in the ISM should be very small, because all of the deuterium should have been destroyed. The deuterium abundance of the ISM can be determined using UV spectroscopy and it is found to be approximately 90%

of the primordial BBN abundance. Let's see what we can learn about chemical evolution from this basic observation!

In this problem, we will denote the mass of the ISM in deuterium as M_D and the fraction of the ISM in deuterium as $X_D = M_D/M_g$. The primordial deuterium abundance is X_D^P (you don't need to know the actual value, but it is $\approx 2.6 \times 10^{-5}$). The observations of deuterium of the ISM show that today $X_D/X_D^P \approx 0.9$. All of the following questions can be solved analytically using the same techniques as used in the notes for the closed/leaky/accreting box models.

(a) Extend the closed box model to include the effect of recycling, which we will model as happening instantaneously. Assume that a fraction r of the mass turned into stars is returned to ISM at the ISM's abundance at the time of the formation of the star. Specifically, derive the relation between Z, p, and the gas fraction as in Equation (13.7) in the presence of recycling. Discuss.

(b) Now work out the closed box model with recycling for deuterium. Remember that no deuterium is created by stars. What is the relation between X_D/X_D^P , r, and the gas fraction? For the current ISM's values of the deuterium abundance and the gas fraction, what recycling fraction do you need to match the two?

(c) Stellar evolution tells us that $r \approx 0.4$. Compare this to the value you found in (b). If they are significantly different, explain the physical reason for this in the context of the closed box model.

(d) In class, we discussed how the accreting box model is successful at explaining the absence of a large number of very metal poor stars in the solar neighborhood (the G dwarf problem). Work out the evolution of the deuterium abundance X_D/X_D^P in the accreting box model (with $\eta = 0$), remembering that the specific model we looked at has a constant gas mass and that any inflowing gas has the primordial deuterium abundance. What recycling fraction r do you need now to match X_D/X_D^P given the observed gas fraction?

(e) Write the relation that you found in (d) in terms of the metallicity Z of the ISM rather than the total-to-gas ratio M/M_g . Note that for this you will need to adjust the notes' accreting box model to take into account recycling (again use the $\eta = 0$ version to keep things simpler). Try to obtain a *very* simple relation using the fact that $Z_{\odot}/p \ll 1$. Fun fact: the relation that you derive actually holds very generally, so there is a direct relation between the metallicity of the ISM and the ratio X_D/X_D^P , regardless of the details of inflow and outflow and the star formation history.

One take-away from this problem should be that most of the hydrogen in the disk of the Milky Way has *never* been inside of a star. While all of the heavy elements in your body were produced in stars, the hydrogen atoms that make up the 70% or so of water in your body were actually created in the Big Bang!